

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/772,199	SIMMONS, RICHARD W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Merilyn P Nguyen	2171	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Merilyn P Nguyen. (3) Thomas J. Strouse.
 (2) Safet Metjajic. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 May 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Spurgeon, Dyson.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant argues that the reference does not disclose "computer application" as claimed in claim 12. The "computer application" as claimed is executable program. The examiners agree to withdraw the finality rejection upon the response from applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



SAFET METJAJIC
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required